EXHIBIT A

Cas	1:05-cv-00830-SLR Document 41-2 Filed 11/17/2006 Page 2 of 19
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	
4	IN RE: Chapter 11 :
5	ETOYS, INC., et al., : Case Nos. 01-0706 (MFW) : through 01-0709 (MFW)
6	Confirmed Debtors. :
7	ROBERT K. ALBER, Pro Se, : CIVIL ACTION :
8	Appellant, : v :
9	TRAUB BONAQUIST & FOX, LLP, :
10	BARRY GOLD, MORRIS NICHOLS : ARSHT & TUNNELL, LLP, and : DOST FEEEGRALIE DATE COMMETTER
11	POST-EFFECTIVE DATE COMMITTEE : OF EBC I, INC., : NOS. 05-830 (KAJ)
12	: and 05-831 (KAJ) Appellee.
13	Wilmington, Delaware
14	Monday, October 16, 2006 at 3:28 p.m. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
15	
16	BEFORE: HONORABLE KENT A. JORDAN, U.S.D.C.J.
17	DEFORM. NONORMEDEL MANY M. S. D. C. O.
18	APPEARANCES:
19	ROBERT K. ALBER
20	Pro Se Plaintiff
21	
22	
23	
24	Brian P. Gaffigan Official Court Reporter
25	oranged code weboutes

,

Cas	1:05-cv-00830-SLR Document 41-2 Filed 11/17/2006 Page 4 of 19
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2 3	DUANE MORRIS, LLP
4	BY: FREDERICK B. ROSNER, ESQ.
5	and
6	KRONISH LIEB WEINER & HELLMAN, LLP BY: RONALD R. SUSSMAN, ESQ. (New York, New York)
7	Counsel for Traub Bonaquist & Fox, LLP
8	·
9	OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE BY: MARK S. KENNEY, ESQ.
10	Counsel for the U.S. Trustee
11	
12	MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT & TUNNELL, LLP ATTN: GREGORY W. WERKHEISER, ESQ.
13	Counsel for Morris Nichols
14	Arsht & Tunnell, LLP
15	SAUL EWING, LLP
16	BY: MARK MINUTI, ESQ.
17	Counsel for Barry Gold
18	
19	
20	- 000 -
21	PROCEEDINGS
22	(REPORTER'S NOTE: The following telephone
23	conference was held in chambers, beginning at 3:28 p.m.)
24	THE COURT: Hi, this is Judge Jordan. Who do I
25	have on the line?

1 MR. WERKHEISER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Gregory Werkheiser on behalf of Morris Nichols Arhst 2 3 & Tunnell, LLP. THE COURT: Okay. Who else? Anybody else on 4 5 the line? 6 MR. WERKHEISER: Yes, Your Honor. I guess I'll 7 go ahead. I took a roll call before we called into the Court and I can announce everybody else, if you would like. 8 9 THE COURT: No, I would rather have them 10 announce themselves. 11 MR. WERKHEISER: Certainly, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: So who else is on, please? Don't be 13 shy. 14 Mr. Werkheiser, is there nobody else on this call? 15 16 MR. WERKHEISER: Well, I thought I had everybody. I apologize. I thought I had everybody when I 17 18 called into the Court and we seem to have lost them. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Alber, are you there? 20 Okay. I'm going to hang-up. You see what you can do; all right, Mr. Werkheiser? 21 22 MR. WERKHEISER: I'll ring you back momentarily. 23 (Brief recess taken.) 24 THE COURT: Okay. This is Judge Jordan. Who do 25 I have on the line?

1 MR. WERKHEISER: Your Honor, this is Gregory 2 Werkheiser again from Morris Nichols. I believe we have all 3 the other parties as well this time. THE COURT: Okay. Who else do I have on the 4 line? 5 6 MR. ALBERS: Robert Albers. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. MINUTI: Your Honor, this is Mark Minuti 9 from Saul Ewing. I represent Barry Gold. 10 MR. KENNEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kenney for the United States Trustee. 11 MR. SUSSMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 12 Ronald Sussman, Kronish Lieb Weiner & Hellman for the Traub 13 14 Bonaquist firm. MR. ROSNER: Your Honor, good afternoon. 15 Rosner with the Duane Morris firm in Wilmington, local 16 counsel for Traub Bonaquist & Fox. 17 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? 18 19 All right. Well, I've been trying to get this 20 case on track for resolution and have not been having a great deal of success. Today, this afternoon actually about 21 an hour ago, a little over an hour ago, I received a 22 page 22 motion with numerous attachments from Mr. Alber seeking an 23

Has anybody else on this call besides Mr. Alber

enlargement of time to prepare and file his opening brief.

24

25

and me seen this document?

MR. KENNEY: Your Honor, it's Mark Kenney for the United States Trustee. I have seen it.

MR. SUSSMAN: Ron Sussman. We've seen it, Your Honor.

MR. WERKHEISER: Your Honor, Greg Werkheiser. I have seen it also.

MR. MINUTI: Mark Minuti. I have also seen the document, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, then we're ready to talk about this for a minute.

Mr. Alber.

MR. ALBER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I've got a couple questions for you. First, you say you've been unable to prepare an opening brief to articulate your position on why this appeal -- well, your positions on appeal but you've put together, as I say, a 22 page motion with numerous attachments and a comprehensive time line and your position on why you should have more time. Help me understand why if you can generate a document like this, you are incapable of generating a brief that would articulate your position on appeal.

MR. ALBER: Well, as I tried to explain there toward the end, I tried putting together the brief and it actually took me over a week to put that together but when

it comes to codes and statutes and everything that I knew I previously filed, I'm just overwhelmed with the stress and anxiety and I'm having a really difficult time. I had a really difficult time putting that together and the only reason I was able to put that together so well is because I've been living through that case for the last -- well, through both these cases for the last five years.

THE COURT: Yes. And I do want to put on this record the basis for your motion, in addition to, of course, your own assertions about your mental condition is a letter dated October 6th, 2006 from a nurse practitioner at the Sunrise Wellness Clinic in Stockton Hill Road, Kingman, Arizona which reads in its entirety, meaning the body of the letter, not citations and other things: "Mr. Alber was seen in my office today and requested I write this letter to the Court for him. He is experiencing extreme stress and anxiety. He states he has been working on some legal proceedings which have been occupying all of his time and energy. This is causing insomnia and anxiety. If it is possible, could these legal matters be put on hold for a time allowing Mr. Alber for a respite?"

Now, Mr. Alber, this appears to be a statement by this nurse practitioner that your subjective complaints are such that she would pose the question, could this be put on hold for a time? Do you have anything else to offer me

besides this as a basis for saying that you have a medical proof that you are unable to proceed?

MR. ALBER: No, I don't, Your Honor. She is my regular, what I would consider my doctor. I am not oftentimes ill and I go to her because of, you know, I have Medicare.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask for positions from the other parties here because, of course, what you have asked in your submission which hit the rest of us today casts the circumstances in a somewhat different light. We were getting on the phone today to find out how to proceed in light of your failure to respond. Now I guess we're going to be looking at that question in light of this motion.

Mr. Werkheiser.

MR. WERKHEISER: Your Honor, we haven't had an opportunity to fully digest Mr. Alber's document but I think we would oppose Mr. Alber's request. I really didn't see anything that would rise to the level of cause or excusable neglect to extend a briefing deadline that was actively discussed among the parties and affirmatively agreed to before it was fixed.

THE COURT: Mr. Minuti.

MR. MINUTI: Your Honor, Mark Minuti. I'll make this short and sweet. We agree with Mr. Werkheiser.

We don't believe Mr. Alber has shown cause. I'll just leave it at that.

THE COURT: Mr. Kenney?

MR. KENNEY: Your Honor, I don't believe there is cause. Reading Mr. Alber's document, he is under stress from litigation which is endemic to engaging in litigation.

THE COURT: Anybody on behalf of Traub Bonaquist & Fox?

MR. SUSSMAN: This is Mr. Sussman. We oppose the application, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Reason?

MR. SUSSMAN: Because we think he has failed to show cause.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Alber, I'll give you a chance to their contentions that you haven't shown any cause in this matter for an extension or sufficient cause for an extension.

MR. ALBER: Okay, Your Honor. Thank you. Well, for one thing, I am completely unfamiliar with what I would need to show cause. The best I could think of to do was to go to my regular doctor and try to explain the best I could what I've been having to go through for several years now.

THE COURT: And what would you propose besides an open-ended extension? Because your motion seems to say, and I'm not quoting it now but telling you what I understand

it to be seeking by way of relief that this case stop until you feel better.

MR. ALBER: Well, thank you, Your Honor. I'm not expecting -- excuse me. I'm not in particular asking for an open-ended date.

THE COURT: Did you suggest a date?

MR. ALBER: The nurse practitioner suggested at least 30 days and more to me. She told me if I continue along the pace I was going, I was possibly facing a complete nervous breakdown. So if we could put it at least 30 days in the future, I could do that. I'll have to take at least a couple weeks off because it's going to take me at least a couple weeks to put together this brief if I'm feeling better. Me being a pro se, I'm not asking for any special consideration here, but it takes me a lot longer to put together something like this than an experienced attorney.

THE COURT: Yes, I'm not faulting your ability to put together a position at all, Mr. Alber. On the contrary, Mr. Alber, I wonder why you couldn't put together a brief in support of your appeal when you put together a very fine document in articulating your position for an extension clearly. So it's not the ability you have, it's the failure to exercise your ability in this instance to produce an opening brief that has me asking these questions.

Well, here is what we're going to do. I'm going

to give you your 30 days Mr. Alber. I'll give you 30 days to come up with this opening brief, okay? Is that what I understood you to be asking for?

MR. ALBER: That would probably be fine, Your Honor. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. If I understood you right, I'll give you that. Now, if you can't do it within the 30 days because you feel like you are still mentally and emotionally at sea, then I will entertain a motion. You go ahead and tell me, file a document which you have to serve on everybody, since that you didn't apparently do with the current motion, because I don't think they saw it until we posted it and they got their filing notice that way. I might be wrong about that but that's what I understand from my staff you are obligated to file when you file to serve all the parties.

MR. ALBER: If I may interrupt for a second,
Your Honor. We did send it out to all the parties. I'm not
quite sure how they got it but we did.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WERKHEISER: We got it today.

MR. MINUTI: Your Honor, I think we received it.

MR. KENNEY: I had an e-mail this morning. Mark

Kenney.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ALBER: And we're in the process of mailing them out, too.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I stand corrected. You need to send me a letter if you feel like you can't meet that 30-day deadline that we're giving you, and then you would need to also -- well, in effect, you would, not a letter, send me a motion for further extension of time. And you would need to, of course, append your further documentation from your medical provider. And what I will do at that point is I will entertain a motion from any of the defendants under Rule 35(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure which provides that if a party's mental or physical condition is at issue and good cause is shown for requiring an independent examination, and in this instance I would certainly consider it good cause shown, to keep putting off briefing on appeal, we'll go ahead and require you to submit to an independent examination by a psychiatrist or a duly certified psychologist that all the parties can agree on and you will bear at least half the cost of that, maybe all the cost of that, depending on how it comes out and we'll see whether, from an independent practitioner, mental health practitioner's perspective you are genuinely unable to proceed. Because I don't want to deprive you of your opportunity to make your case but I also don't want to make people whose rights are at stake here on

the defendants' side wait indefinitely on the basis of subjective complaints which are supported by no more than the very sketchy statement, indeed question posed by your nurse practitioner. Do you understand what I'm saying, Mr. Alber?

MR. ALBER: Yes, I do, Your Honor. If I might ask either the Court or if any other counsel would just comment, is my nurse practitioner that I regularly go to, is that allowed in federal court or is it only a doctor that is recognized?

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure. If what you're -- are you asking is it good enough to have a nurse practitioner, as opposed to a medical doctor, saying you're unfit? I don't think we need to go there because I'm telling you it doesn't turn on whether she is a nurse practitioner or MD, it turns on her being your doctor who has given no more to me than a very short one paragraph statement that says Mr. Alber tells me he has got insomnia and anxiety, couldn't you put this off, basically.

MR. ALBER: Okay.

THE COURT: So what I'm telling you is that's not much but it's enough to get you 30 days. And if you still can't do it in that time frame, then we're going to get you to a doctor that isn't your doctor, it's some independent party with credentials associated with mental

health evaluation and we'll see how you are.

MR. ALBER: Okay. If I may, Your Honor, the only consideration I would ask for in that respect is if I'm going to have to burden me the cost, if the parties could do their best to make sure they accept Medicare.

THE COURT: Well, you can absolutely work with the defendants to try to find somebody appropriate. You need to understand we're not, I'm not trying to make this hard on you from a financial perspective. On the contrary, I'm trying to respect your needs but yours are not the only needs I'm bound to respect. I have to recognize that there are other parties in this case. They want this thing resolved. I'm sure you want it resolved. But they have as much right to have it resolved as you do and so I'm going to be paying attention to their needs as well as yours; all right?

MR. ALBER: I understand, Your Honor. That is entirely fair.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, does anybody have any questions about what I have said? I answered a few for Mr. Alber. Any more from you, sir?

MR. MINUTI: Your Honor, it's Mark Minuti.

THE COURT: Just a moment. Let me see if there is anything else from Mr. Alber?

MR. ALBER: No, I'm through, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Werkheiser, any question from 2 you? 3 MR. WERKHEISER: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Minuti. MR. MINUTI: Yes, Your Honor. Just so everybody 5 is clear on the phone, is the 30 days running from today as 6 7 opposed to the 4th which was the day his brief was due? 8 THE COURT: It's running from today. 9 MR. MINUTI: And, Your Honor, there is an order already entered in the case with a specific deadline for the 1.0 11 appellees brief. Do you want to set a reply deadline now? 12 THE COURT: Well, we'll have that answering 13 brief due on the same time schedule, that is, the same gap being between the two. Now, I'm not sure but it's probably 14 two weeks to a month in between. 15 16 MR. KENNEY: Mark Kenney. I believe it was 17 15 days, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: 15 days? All right. We'll calculate it out 15 days. And then we'll have the reply due 19 20 again on the same time frame, which is probably six or seven 21 days. What is it? MR. WERKHEISER: I believe it was seven days, 22 23 Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Seven days. All right. 25 MR. WERKHEISER: Your Honor, this is Gregory

Werkheiser. There was a reply contemplated on the prior scheduling order for Morris Nichols' cross appeal, if necessary.

THE COURT: Well, you folks figure it out on the same basis; all right?

MR. WERKHEISER: Yes. Certainly, Your Honor.

everything back 30 days from today in recognition of what Mr. Alber contends is a medical need. And that's how we'll proceed. And indeed, I'll ask you folks at Morris Nichols if you wouldn't mind to take the lead in drafting up a short scheduling order, form of order which gives content to the remarks I have made here. That is saying opening brief from Mr. Alber due 30 days from today, whatever that date is, et cetera, et cetera; all right?

MR. WERKHEISER: Yes, Your Honor. Should that incorporate your original ruling with respect to consideration of a further extension request as well?

THE COURT: No, I think the transcript is sufficient for that because we may not need to go there ultimately. And if we do, there is time enough to incorporate it in a further written order, but I believe everybody understands what I have explained on this call and then that should suffice for the time being.

MR. SUSSMAN: Your Honor, this is Ron Sussman.

If you will indulge me with one separate but related item I would like to ask the Court about.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUSSMAN: There is a designation of the record that Mr. Alber has made that the defendants uniformly think is an improper designation and we were wondering if we could get some guidance from the Court as to how you would like to handle that.

THE COURT: I think you should handle it the way you did the last time. My understanding is you made a motion to strike and if you can talk with Mr. Alber, if he feels well enough to talk with you about your concerns, talk with him. If he says I don't feel like I can talk to you, then you file your own separate designation of the record, and if I agree with you that he is out of bounds -- I mean this is one of those things that ought not be that hard. It just shouldn't be that hard. There is stuff that is properly in the court below which can be in a record on If it's not properly in the record on appeal appeal. because it wasn't something presented in the court below, it shouldn't be in the designation or attempted designation of the records. It's pretty much that simple, I think. he is not either willing to or able to cooperate, you let me know what your position is, and if it's as straightforward as it sounds like it may be, we'll make sure that the right

Cas 1:05-cv-00830-SLR Document 41-2 Filed 11/17/2006 Page 19 of 19